One-Codimensional Tchebycheff Subspaces

EITAN LAPIDOT

44A Eder St., Haifa, Israel

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received August 12, 1980; revised November 29, 1982

A necessary and sufficient condition for a finite dimensional Tchybycheff space of real functions on a real set containing its endpoints to contain a 1-codimensional Tchebycheff subspace is given. Examples of *n*-dimensional Tchebycheff spaces on closed intervals that do not contain (n-1)-dimensional Tchebycheff subspaces are given for all $n \ge 3$.

1. Introduction

By a well-known theorem of Krein, every Tchebycheff space (T-space) of real-valued functions defined on an open interval contains a 1-codimensional T-subspace. In [2] we considered T-spaces on real sets containing at most one endpoint, and gave a necessary and sufficient condition for these T-spaces to contain 1-codimensional T-subspaces.

In this paper we discuss this property for T-spaces on real sets containing both endpoints.

DEFINITION 1 ([1]). The set of the real-valued functions $f_1, f_2,..., f_k$, defined on a real set M, is called a Tchebycheff system (T-system) if

$$U\begin{pmatrix} f_1, f_2, ..., f_k \\ t_1, t_2, ..., t_k \end{pmatrix} = \det(f_i(t_j))_{i,j=1}^k$$
 (1)

has a constant sign for all $t_1, t_2, ..., t_k \in M$ with $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k$.

If L is the span of a T-system, then it is called a T-space. Clearly, every basis of a T-space is a T-system.

An equivalent definition of a T-space L can be given by means of the number of zeros and the number of sign changes of the elements of L. If there exist $t_1, t_2, ..., t_{r+1} \in M$ such that $\operatorname{sgn} f(t_i) = -\operatorname{sgn} f(t_{i+1}) \neq 0$ for i=1,2,...,r, we say that f has r sign changes on M. If f has r but not r+1 sign changes, we say that f has exactly r sign changes on M and is denoted

by $S^{-}(f, M)$. By Z(f, M) we denote the number of the distinct zeros of f in M.

DEFINITION 2 ([5], Lemma 1]). Let L be a k-dimensional space of real-valued functions defined on M. Then L is a T-space if for every $f \in L \setminus \{0\}$, $Z(f, M) \leq k - 1$ and $S^-(f, m) \leq k - 1$.

2. 1-CODIMENSIONAL T-SUBSPACES

We consider T-spaces on a real set M containing its infimum and supremum. Since every 2-dimensional T-space on M contains a positive function, one concludes that it contains a 1-codimensional T-subspace. This is not true in general for T-spaces of higher dimension. In Section 3 we show that for every $n \ge 3$ there exists an n-dimensional T-space on a closed interval that does not contain (n-1)-dimensional T-subspaces.

We first give a necessary and sufficient condition for a T-space on M to contain a 1-codimensional T-subspace. Let $f_1, f_2, ..., f_{n+2}, n \ge 1$, be defined on the set M, forming a T-system on it, and let L be their span. If $a = \inf M$ and $b = \sup M$, then

$$L_a = \{ f \mid f \in L, f(a) = 0 \}, \tag{2}$$

$$L_b = \{ f \mid f \in L, f(b) = 0 \}, \tag{3}$$

and

$$L_{a,b} = L_a \cap L_b \tag{4}$$

are T-spaces on $M_a=M\backslash\{a\},\ M_b=M\backslash\{b\},\ \text{and}\ M_{a,b}=M_a\cap M_b,$ respectively.

If L contains a 1-codimensional T-subspace, L', on M, then

$$L'_a = \{ f \mid f \in L', f(a) = 0 \}, \tag{2'}$$

and

$$L'_b = \{ f \mid f \in L', f(b) = 0 \}$$
 (3')

will be 1-codimensional T-subspaces on M_a and M_b , respectively. If $n \ge 2$, then

$$L'_{a,b} = L'_a \cap L'_b \tag{4'}$$

will be a 1-codimensional T-subspace of $L_{a,b}$ on $M_{a,b}$.

We now show that the converse is also true.

THEOREM 1. Let M be a real set containing both its infimum and supremum. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{n+2}$ be a set of n+2 real-valued functions defined on M and forming a T-system on it and let L_a , L_b , and $L_{a,b}$ be defined as above. Then L contains a 1-codimensional T-subspace on M iff

- (i) L_a and L_b have 1-codimensional T-subspaces L_a' and L_b' on M_a and M_b , respectively, and
 - (ii) if $n \ge 2$, then $L'_{a,b} = L'_a \cap L'_b$ has dimension n-1.

Remark. If $L'_{a,b}$ is an (n-1)-dimensional space, then it is a T-space on $M_{a,b}$.

Proof. We need only show the "if part." Let $\{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n, u, v\}$ be a basis of L such that

$$g_i(a) = g_i(b) = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n,$$
 (5)

$$u(a) = (-1)^n, u(b) = 0,$$
 (6)

and

$$v(a) = 0,$$
 $v(b) = 1.$ (7)

Clearly, $L_a = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n, v\}$, $L_b = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n, u\}$ and $L_{a,b} = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n\}$.

Assume first that $n \ge 2$. By our hypothesis, we may assume (replacing u and v by $u - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i$ and $v - \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i g_i$ if necessary) that

$$L'_a = \operatorname{span}\{g_1, g_2, ..., g_{n-1}, v\},$$
 (8)

and

$$L'_b = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2, ..., g_{n-1}, u\}.$$
 (9)

Clearly

$$L'_{a,b} = L'_a \cap L'_b. \tag{10}$$

We may also assume that

$$U\left(\frac{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n}{t_1, t_2, ..., t_n}\right) > 0, \text{ whenever } a < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < b,$$
 (11)

and

$$U\left(\begin{array}{c} g_1, g_2, ..., g_{n-1} \\ t_1, t_2, ..., t_{n-1} \end{array}\right) > 0$$
, whenever $a < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < b$. (12)

Hence

$$U\left(\frac{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, v}{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}, t_n}\right) > 0, \quad \text{whenever} \quad a < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \le b, \quad (13)$$

$$U\left(\frac{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n, v}{t_1, t_2, ..., t_n, t_{n+1}}\right) > 0, \text{ whenever } a < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n+1} \le b, (14)$$

$$U\left(\begin{array}{c} g_1, g_2, ..., g_{n-1}, u \\ t_1, t_2, ..., t_{n-1}, t_n \end{array}\right) < 0, \quad \text{whenever} \quad a \leqslant t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < b, \quad (15)$$

also

$$U\begin{pmatrix} g_1, g_2, ..., g_n, u \\ t_1, t_2, ..., t_n, t_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} > 0, \text{ whenever } a \le t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n+1} < b. (16)$$

We now show that $\{g_1,g_2,...,g_{n-1},u,v\}$ forms a T-system on M. Consider the function $h=Au+Bv+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}c_i\,g_i$. If AB=0, then $Z(h,M)\leqslant n$ and $S^-(h,M)\leqslant n$. If AB<0, then it follows from (13) and (15) that $Z(h,M_{a,b})\leqslant n-1$ and $Z(h,M)\leqslant n-1$. Also $S^-(h,M_{a,b})\leqslant n-1$ and since $h(a)=(-1)^nA$ and h(b)=B, one concludes that $S^-(h,M)\leqslant n-1$. Similarly, in case AB>0, (14) and (16) imply that $Z(h,M)\leqslant n$ and $S^-(h,M)\leqslant n$. Hence $\{g_1,g_2,...,g_{n-1},u,v\}$ is a T-system on M. This completes the proof of the theorem for $n\geqslant 2$.

For n=1, L is a 3-dimensional T-space, $L_{a,b}$ is a 1-dimensional space, i.e., $L_{a,b} = \operatorname{span}\{g\}$, where g(a) = g(b) = 0. Also, $L_a = \operatorname{span}\{g,v\}$, $L_b = \operatorname{span}\{g,u\}$, $L'_a = \operatorname{span}\{v\}$, and $L'_b = \operatorname{span}\{u\}$ are T-spaces on M_a and M_b , and as before $L' = \operatorname{span}\{u,v\}$ is a T-space on M, which completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 1 requires that M contain at least n+2 points including its endpoints. We now assume that M has a betweeness property, namely, if $x, y \in M$ with x < y, then there exists a point $z \in M$ with x < z < y.

Let now $w_a(t) = \max\{\max_{1 \le i \le n} |g_i(t)|, |v(t)|\}$ for $t \in M_a$ and $w_b(t) = \max\{\max_{1 \le i \le n} |g_i(t)|, |u(t)|\}$ for $t \in M_b$. We define

$$y_i(t) = g_i(t)/w_b(t), i = 1, 2, ..., n, t \in M_b,$$
 (17)

$$y_{n+1}(t) = u(t)/w_b(t), t \in M_b$$
 (18)

$$z_i(t) = g_i(t)/w_a(t), i = 1, 2, ..., n, t \in M_a,$$
 (19)

and

$$z_{n+1}(t) = v(t)/w_a(t), t \in M_a.$$
 (20)

Extend (17)–(20) to *M* by

$$y_i(b) = \lim_{t \to b'} y_i(t), \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1,$$
 (21)

$$z_i(a) = \lim_{t \to a'} z_i(t), \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n + 1,$$
 (22)

where $a' = \inf M_a$ and $b' = \sup M_b$ (see [2, 3]).

By [2], a necessary and sufficient condition for L_a and L_b to contain a 1-codimensional T-subspace on M_a and M_b , respectively, is that

$$(y_1(a),...,y_{n+1}(a))$$
 and $(y_1(b),...,y_{n+1}(b))$ (23)

are not proportional and also

$$(z_1(a),...,z_{n+1}(a))$$
 and $(z_1(b),...,z_{n+1}(b))$ (24)

are not proportional.

Following the technique of [2, Theorem 1], one finds that $L'_a \cap L'_b$ is an (n-1)-dimensional space iff

$$(y_1(b),...,y_n(b)) = \pm(z_1(a),...,z_n(a)) \neq (0,...,0).$$
 (25)

We have proved

THEOREM 2. Let g_1 , g_2 ,... g_n , u, v be as in Theorem 1. Let M have the betweeness property and let y_1 , y_2 ,..., y_{n+1} , z_1 , z_2 ,..., z_{n+1} be defined by (17)–(22). Then span $\{g_1, g_2,..., g_n, u, v\}$ contains a 1-codimensional T-subspace on M iff (23)–(25) hold.

Notice that in [2], continuity had been assumed but it can be easily seen that the results of [2] hold without any continuity assumptions.

3. T-Spaces That Have No 1-Codimensional T-Subspaces

In [4], Zielke shows that for every n > 2, there exist *n*-dimensional *T*-spaces, on closed and on half open intervals that have no Markov basis. We now show, using the same and analogous examples, that for every n > 2 there exists an *n*-dimensional *T*-space on a closed interval that has no 1-codimensional *T*-subspace.

Case 1 (n odd). Consider first the (n-1)-dimensional space spanned by

$$f_1(t) = t$$

 $f_i(t) = t^{i-2}(t^2 - 1), i = 2, 3, ..., n - 1,$

defined on [-1, 1).

This is a T-space [4] which does not contain a 1-codimensional T-subspace [2]. Define $p_0 = 1$,

$$p_i(t) = (1-t) f_i(t),$$
 $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, \text{ on } [-1, 1].$

 $L = \operatorname{span}\{p_0, p_1, ..., p_{n-1}\}\$ is a T-space on [-1, 1] (see [4]), and if L contains a 1-codimensional T-subspace, then L'_1 (in the notation of Theorem 1) would contain a 1-codimensional T-subspace on [1, 1), which is impossible since L'_1 is generated by the f'_i 's multiplied by a positive function.

Case 2 (n even (n > 2)). Similarly, one can show that $L = \text{span}\{p_0, p_1, ..., p_{n-1}\}$, where $p_0 = 1$,

$$p_1(t) = 1 - t,$$

and

$$p_i(t) = t^{i-2}(1-t)^2(1+t), \qquad i = 2, 3, ..., n-1,$$

is a T-space on [-1, 1] and since $L_1 = \{p \mid p \in L, p(1) = 0\}$ is a T-space (on [-1, 1)) that does not contain a 1-codimensional T-subspace [2, Theorem 1], L does not contain a 1-codimensional T-subspace on [-1, 1].

Zielke shows [6, p. 45], that span $\{f_1,...,f_n,g_1,...,g_n\}$, where $f_i(t)=\sin(it)$ and $g_i(t)=\cos(it)$, i=1,2,...,n, is a T-space on $[0,\pi]$, for $n \ge 2$. He proves that this T-space has no Markov basis and raises the question of whether it contains a 1-codimensional T-subspace or not. Applying Theorem 2, one concludes that it contains no such T-subspace.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank the refree for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- S. Karlin and J. Studden, "Tchebycheff Systems. With Applications in Analysis and Statistics," Interscience, New York, 1966.
- 2. E. LAPIDOT, On complete Tchebycheff systems, J. Approx. Theory 23 (1978), 324-331.
- E. LAPIDOT, Tchebycheff subspaces of periodic Tchebycheff spaces, J. Approx. Theory 29 (1980), 218-222.

- 4. R. ZIELKE, Tchebyshev systems that cannot be transformed into a Markov system. *Manuscripta Math.* 17 (1975), 67-71.
- 5. R. ZIELKE, Alternation properties of Tchebycheff systems and the existence of adjoined functions, J. Approx. Theory 10 (1974), 172-184.
- 6. R. ZIELKE, "Discontinuous Čebyšev Systems," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.